Performance MIDI-to-Score Conversion by Neural Beat Tracking

23rd International Society for **Music Information Retrieval Conference** (ISMIR 2022)

+MUSIC

centre for digital music

University of London

Queen Mary

Lele Liu^{*1,2}, Qiuqiang Kong³, Veronica Morfi¹, and Emmanouil Benetos^{1,2}

¹ Queen Mary University of London, UK² The Alan Turing Institute, UK³ ByteDance Shanghai, China * The author conducted this work as an intern at ByteDance

I. Performance MIDI-to-Score (PM2S) Conversion





- For in-note beat prediction, we use a CRNN model
- For out-of-note beat prediction, we design an objective function to be minimized that encourages a low level of tempo change and add fewer out-of-note beats

$$2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N-2} \left| \log\left(\frac{b_{n+2} - b_{n+1}}{1 + \lambda} \times N^{o}\right) \right| + \lambda \times N^{o}$$

The

Institute

Alan Turing

Recording from MIDI keyboard or Transcribed MIDI performance

Music score in MIDI/MusicXML/Lilypond/...

format

- Applications: Music improvisation, complete music transcription, music performance analysis
- Subtasks: Rhythm quantisation, note value prediction, key estimation, voice separation, and possibly score type-setting such as beaming and playing techniques annotation

II. Contributions

- A deep learning (CRNN) model with a compact output for PM2S
- A new rhythm quantisation method by tracking beats on a MIDI note sequence
- Comparisons between different input encodings of MIDI note sequences for tracking beats from performance MIDI
- Ablation studies on input features and data augmentation



- $b_n n$ -th beat time in the in-note beats list λ – penalty coefficient for adding out-of-note beats
- N^o number of out-of-note beats
- We further expand the CRNN model to predict a compact output data representation for musical scores



methods for tracking beats from performance MIDI

A PM2S conversion toolbox

III. Methodology



Rhythm quantisation: the prediction of musical onset and note value for each note in the note sequence

Rhythm quantisation: Beat/downbeat tracking

IV. Experiments

- We use a collection of classical piano performances and scores, in total 123.2 hours of piano performances in 504 distinct pieces.
- The train/valid/test splits do not have overlapping pieces
- Comparative experiments show that:
 - Using MIDI pitch, onset shift in one-hot format, duration in raw values, and velocity achieves best performance among difference input data encoding combinations
 - All four input features are helpful
 - Using data augmentation during model training is beneficial
 - Our proposed beat tracking model outperforms a baseline beat tracking model

MV2H evaluation on performance MIDI-to-Score Conversion:

| Methods | F_p | F_{vo} | F_{me} | F_{va} | F_{ha} | F |
|---------|-------|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|------|
| Finale | 82.2 | 54.6 | 9.9 | 92.2 | 86.2 | 65.0 |
| | 10.0 | $(\mathbf{F} 0)$ | 150 | 05.0 | 015 | 510 |



MuseScore 10.065.0 15.3 95.0 84.5 54.0 **99.8** 87.0 61.7 **99.9** 91.1 87.9 Proposed

• Results show better performance can be achieved by our model in comparison with two commercial software (Finale and MuseScore), based on the MV2H metric. • Significantly better performance can be observed in the metrical alignment metric, due to our method's ability to track tempo changes.

